Application No. Applicant(s) 09/675,201 TAGAMI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Dawn Garrett 1774 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): Dawn Garrett. (3)_____ (4)_____. (2) Harris Pitlick. Date of Interview: 02 April 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 18-20. Identification of prior art discussed: Chemistry Letters (1996) pages 157-158. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and the examiner discussed the newly cited journal article, Chemistry Letters (1996), pages 157-158, and a prior amendment to the claims directed to compound (3). Applicant's representative approved the attached Examiner's Amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY

FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

un I Han